Abstract—Wireless networks in combination with image sensors open up a multitude of previously unthinkable sensing applications. Capable tools and testbeds for these wireless image sensor networks can greatly accelerate development of complex, yet efficient algorithms that meet application requirements. In this paper, we introduce WiSNAP (Wireless Image Sensor Network Application Platform), a Matlab-based application development platform intended for wireless image sensor networks. It allows researchers and developers of such networks to investigate, design, and evaluate algorithms and applications using real target hardware. WiSNAP offers standardized and easy-to-use Application Program Interfaces (APIs) to control image sensors and wireless motes, which do not require detailed knowledge of the target hardware. Nonetheless, its open system architecture enables support of virtually any kind of sensor or wireless mote. Several application examples illustrate the usage of WiSNAP as a powerful development tool.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless sensor networks open up an entirely new field for research and development. These types of networks conceptually offer many exciting features including scalability, self-configuration, self-healing, multicast routing, and easy deployment [1]. For these reasons, they are well suited for a wide range of applications in monitoring, control, surveillance, and distributed sensing among many others [2]. In particular, image sensor-based wireless networks are deemed advantageous for many of these applications [3]. However, specific applications still need to be defined, and their implementation requires further work.

The availability of suitable tools can greatly aid investigation and development of applications for wireless sensor networks. Although several testbeds for wireless sensor networks have been proposed [4]–[6], they often lack high-level support for effective algorithm development or they are tailored to a limited set of hardware. For this purpose, Matlab [7] may serve as one appropriate rapid-prototyping tool. Its high-level programming environment would allow for easy design, implementation, and emulation of algorithms and applications for wireless image sensor networks if interfaces to wireless motes and image sensors exist. Such interfaces could be realized as standardized libraries, which provide easy-to-use functions to communicate with a variety of image sensors and wireless motes. The use of such libraries unburdens its potential user from having to deal with mote- or sensor-specific interface details. A set of such standardized libraries could form a Matlab-based framework for wireless image sensor networks, in which algorithms and applications can be developed in an efficient manner.

Wireless image sensor networks in particular often require a great deal of algorithm work since robust and reliable information extraction from image data typically results in complex, fine-tuned image processing algorithms. Use of high-level development testbeds can significantly accelerate cycle time for development and verification of image-based sensor algorithms. Following the design flow referred to, the algorithm is first developed and evaluated in a high-level development platform, which provides easier and more comprehensive insight into algorithm performance than most low-level target hardware tools. Once the desired performance criteria are met, the algorithm can be ported to the final target hardware without the need for further algorithm design. A limitation of this design flow however, which one frequently encounters, lies in the insufficient real-time capability of high-level development environments. Nonetheless, equivalent-time emulation can adequately model real-time performance of many algorithms.

Conceptually, the approach outlined here to create a development platform for wireless image sensor networks can be applied to high-level simulation and analysis environments other than Matlab. For example, Agilent VEE Pro [8] and LabVIEW [9], which both provide extensive interface capabilities to test and measurement equipment, represent possible choices although they target primarily data acquisition and analysis. In our research, we prefer Matlab’s interactive, high-level language environment mostly due to its powerful features in algorithm development and graphical visualization. The integration of our development platform, which adds interfaces to actual image sensors and wireless motes, into Matlab results in an effective rapid-prototyping tool for application development in vision-enabled wireless sensor networks.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we describe the overall system architecture of our proposed application development platform called WiSNAP [10] for vision-enabled wireless sensor networks. A more detailed explanation of its application program interface layer and its device libraries follow in Sections III and IV, respectively. Several application examples are presented and discussed in Section V. Finally, Section VI summarizes the main aspects of WiSNAP-based development of applications in vision-enabled wireless sensor networks and outlines directions for further work.
architecture of the WiSNAP framework allows easy integration of additional APIs. For example, a separate API for sensors providing scalar outputs like temperature, pressure, acceleration, or velocity can readily be added to the existing application platform presented in this paper.

A. Image Sensor API

The purpose of the Image Sensor API lies in allowing its user to capture frames from an attached image sensor. Hence this API requires only a very limited function set, which is summarized in Table I.

A user interested in capturing a number of frames from a particular image sensor would first open a communications session to the image sensor (‘open’), initialize it (‘init’), capture as many frames as desired (‘frame’), and finally close the communications session (‘close’).

B. Wireless Mote API

The Wireless Mote API presents an easy-to-use application interface to wireless motes. Most importantly it provides functions for mote initialization and medium access control (MAC) packet transmission to other motes and reception from other motes in the network. Table II summarizes this API’s function set.

A user wanting to send and receive packets within the wireless sensor network would proceed as follows. Similar to the steps described for the Image Sensor API, open a communications session to the wireless mote (‘open’) and initialize it with the desired channel number and node address (‘init’) before sending (‘send’) and receiving (‘recv’) MAC packets to and from other motes within the network.

IV. DEVICE LIBRARIES

The device libraries reside below the API layer. For a specific image sensor or wireless mote, a device library provides a set of hardware-dependent functions, which match the corresponding set of functions of the overlaid API. Thus, the device library “knows” the interface and instruction set of the device it is written for.

A. Agilent ADCM-1670 Image Sensor

Agilent’s ADCM-1670 camera module (Fig. 2) can be used as a cost effective, medium resolution image sensor for image...
sensing applications. Its low power dissipation of typically less than 90 mW makes it especially appealing for energy-constrained wireless sensor networks. The ADCM-1670 camera module offers a programmable resolution of up to 352x352 pixels, selectable output format between JPEG, YCbCr, RGB, or grayscale only and built-in pan and zoom capability. In addition, an internal frame buffer can store image data up to 48 Kbytes.

Both sensor control and image output take place over a simple Universal Asynchronous Receiver-Transmitter (UART) interface. Utilizing a RS-232 level-shifter, this sensor can be directly connected to a computer's serial port. This allows the ADCM-1670 device library to operate the image sensor by transferring character data through an available serial port.

B. Agilent ADNS-3060 Image Sensor

Agilent’s ADNS-3060 optical mouse sensor is actually intended for tracking applications in high-performance optical computer mice. However, its programming capabilities allow for reading out the raw image captured by the sensor’s pixel array. Operated this way, the ADNS-3060 makes a suitable low resolution image sensor for image sensor networks as it provides fixed 30x30 pixel, 6-bit grayscale images. Its extraordinary frame rates of up to almost 6500 frames per second may especially appeal to applications that require target or object detection of high acceleration or velocity.

The ADNS-3060 image sensor employs a four-wire Serial Peripheral Interface (SPI) for programming and image acquisition. The ADNS-3060 device library can directly communicate with the sensor’s interface by bit-banging the SPI protocol onto the computer’s IEEE-1284 parallel port. Our WiSNAP implementation uses a Logitech MX310 Optical Mouse [13], which has an ADNS-3060 optical mouse sensor built in, but the SPI interface is intercepted by the computer’s parallel port.

C. Chipcon CC2420DB Wireless Mote

The Chipcon CC2420DB IEEE 802.15.4 compliant demonstration board (Fig. 3) pairs an Atmel 8-bit AVR ATmega128L microcontroller [15] with a Chipcon CC2420 2.4 GHz IEEE 802.15.4 RF transceiver [16], which makes it a powerful wireless mote. Furthermore, the demonstration board comes with a micro-strip antenna, RS-232 and SPI interfaces, and several general-purpose input-output pins. Hence it can easily interface to a multitude of additional input or output devices like sensors or indicators.

To readily interface to the CC2420DB device library, the microcontroller executes a custom-designed terminal application firmware that receives commands through one of the on-board serial RS-232 ports. Commands supported by the terminal application include microcontroller register read/write, transceiver register read/write, transceiver initialization, and IEEE 802.15.4 MAC packet reception and transmission. In addition, the terminal application also accepts commands in the form of MAC packets. Thus, the CC2420DB device library can completely control the wireless mote either through the serial interface or through IEEE 802.15.4 MAC communication. Conceptually, one instance of WiSNAP may therefore remotely configure and operate an entire network of CC2420DB wireless motes.

D. Extension to Other Devices

It should be straightforward to develop device libraries for virtually any sensor or wireless mote following the concept of the library examples presented in this paper. In short, additional device libraries first need to establish an interface from the computer to the device of interest, and subsequently implement the device-dependent functions required by the overlaid API for this type of device.

V. APPLICATION EXAMPLES

The following application examples demonstrate the use of WiSNAP for algorithm and application implementation and emulation. The application examples presented here are primarily intended to introduce the potential of WiSNAP in the development of wireless image sensor networks. Note that the application examples presented are somewhat non-traditional in that battery operation and energy conservation are generally beyond the scope of this work.

The main advantage of WiSNAP lies in enabling application and algorithm development in a high-level programming environment such as Matlab while deploying real target hardware. Hence, application development can utilize
special hardware features and, at the same time, is exposed to its unique performance characteristics and limitations.

A. Event Detection

The first application example illustrates the usage of WiSNAP’s image sensor API for visual event detection. More specifically, the computer running WiSNAP has an Agilent ADCM-1670 image sensor attached to a serial port. The sensor looks at two digits of a numeric counter dial. The application attempts to detect the event of a changing counter reading. Our straightforward approach accomplishes this detection by tracking the number of pixels that differ substantially between successive images. Once this number exceeds a threshold preset above the camera’s noise level, the event has occurred and the algorithm can issue a notification for further action. For instance, this case occurs in applications for automatic meter reading or, more generally, in applications that require event detection in an image sensor’s field of view.

A screenshot of this event detection example is presented in Fig. 4. Subplots (a) and (b) show the meter reading before and after the event along with subplot (c) graphing the number of pixels differing from frame to frame and the preset detection threshold. The detected event is clearly visible in the latter plot at time step 25 frames.

B. Multi-Modal Node Localization

In the second application example, we describe how our development platform can be applied to a node localization problem. The setup of the wireless image sensor network is as follows. A central node with attached image sensor looks at its environment to detect the relative location of a neighboring node. The central node consists of a CC2420DB wireless mote and an ADCM-1670 camera module; each of them connected to a computer's serial port. The node neighbor operates as a stand-alone CC2420DB mote, i.e. it has no connection to a computer. To signal its location, it can toggle a red light-emitting diode (LED) upon remote request from the central node. Assuming the adjacent node is within communication range and field of view of the central node, this network configuration enables the central WiSNAP node to determine distance and direction of the node neighbor. The central node knows the fixed transmit power and can calculate the receive power from the received signal strength indicator (RSSI) embedded in received MAC packets. Hence its distance to the neighboring node can be approximated from the free-space loss model. Note that this represents an idealized, but convenient assumption; in fact, inferring distance from RSSI measurements is highly involved in indoor and even outdoor network deployments. To determine the direction of the neighboring node, the central node extracts the relative angle of the continuously blinking LED from its captured images according to the pinhole camera model. The position of this LED within the camera’s image array can be easily determined through frame differencing of consecutive frames. Lastly, the central node estimates the neighboring node’s two-dimensional location relative to its own as the intersection of the circle specified by the distance and the secant defined by the relative angle of the neighboring node.

Fig. 5 gives a screenshot of this multi-modal node localization example. Subplots (a) and (b) respectively display the raw and the difference image, which clearly indicate the image coordinates of the neighboring node’s LED position. Furthermore, subplot (c) shows the received signal strength over time. Finally, the estimated topology is visualized in subplot (d) with the central node in the center and the neighboring node at the intersection of the aforementioned circle and secant.

Obviously, it is straightforward to extend this example application towards a network deployment of more than just two nodes, in which the nodes discover their neighbors within radio and visual range and possibly even work out the global network topology map. Such topology information can assist network deployment and even operation to determine its coverage area for instance. As another example, geographic routing requires knowledge of node positions, which could be obtained following the approach outlined here.

C. Collaborative Self-Localization

Lee and Aghajan [17] have employed WiSNAP for self-localization of wireless image sensor networks, in which a
mobile agent traverses the overlapping field of view of the image sensor nodes. As long as the mobile agent remains within the field of view of participating nodes, they continuously capture time-synchronized images and extract the bearing angle to the agent. The time-stamped bearing angles are communicated to neighboring nodes, which allows the nodes to jointly estimate their relative position and orientation. Two of the image sensor nodes are selected as reference nodes to establish a relative coordinate system of the network. Fig. 6 shows experimental performance results of this collaborative self-localization application. The sensor nodes deployed WiSNAP with Agilent’s ADCM-1670 camera module to acquire time-synchronized images of the moving agent.

Several variations were considered with respect to knowledge about the mobile agent:
1) Location and motion pattern of the mobile agent are not known to the network, which would apply for example to a hostile agent or intruder.
2) The network uses a model of the agent’s motion pattern, which, for example, could model the motion of cars on a roadway.
3) The agent is friendly and broadcasts its position to the image sensor nodes periodically.

More details about this WiSNAP-based application for network self-localization can be found in [17].

D. Traffic Monitoring

This application example targets traffic monitoring along highways or freeways. The idea is to position wirelessly networked image sensor nodes along a roadway. Upon passing of a vehicle, the image sensors take a time-stamped image, classify the vehicle, and communicate information about this event to adjacent nodes placed along the roadway. This enables such a traffic monitoring system to record usage patterns, which include information of not only the traveling speed but also the class of passing vehicles. In turn, this lower-level information can be compiled into higher-level reports such as roadway usage maps detailed out by vehicle class.

In this example, students at our Wireless Sensor Networks Lab added a magnetometer to WiSNAP. The magnetometer senses changes in the magnetic field as caused by approaching cars. As illustrated in Fig. 7, this triggers WiSNAP to take an image of the vehicle using Agilent’s ADCM-1670 camera module. Thus, the image sensor only needs to operate when triggered by the magnetometer. This significantly reduces energy consumption, which is especially important for battery-powered traffic monitoring nodes.

E. Target Tracking

In the final example, the application in mind considers dispatching mobile agents towards a target outside the visual range of a stationary host network. Thereby the mobile agents in form of robots perform visual or other sensing operations at the targets of interest. Thus, the agents allow the host network to expand its coverage area in an ad hoc, on-demand fashion.

We used WiSNAP to design, implement, and test the target tracking algorithm running on the battery-powered robots. As part of the robot’s tracking feedback control, the target tracking algorithm provides the angular orientation error between the robot’s center axis and the target’s direction. To accomplish this error computation with a simple, yet effective algorithm, we accumulate the horizontal pixel shift of successive pairs of images. To further reduce computational complexity, only the horizontal projections or scan lines of the image pairs are cross-correlated yielding this horizontal shift. A screenshot taken during the development of the algorithm is shown in Fig. 8.

Note that in this example of algorithm design with WiSNAP, we not only developed and emulated the algorithm, but also translated it to C code and tested it on real target
hardware—Agilent’s ADCM-1670 CIF camera module [11] interfaced to a Chipcon CC2420DB demonstration board [14], which was mounted on top of the robots. A video of our mobile agent in action [18] demonstrates the successful implementation of this target tracking application.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have introduced WiSNAP, a novel, Matlab-based application platform for vision-enabled wireless sensor networks. Its standardized application program interface layer and underlying device libraries facilitate high-level algorithm and application development on real image sensor and wireless mote hardware devices. Furthermore, WiSNAP’s open system architecture can readily accommodate virtually any type of sensor or mote device. In several examples ranging from event detection, node localization, traffic monitoring, to target tracking, we demonstrated the easy deployment of WiSNAP for efficient application development and emulation of wireless image sensor networks. Future work on WiSNAP will focus on implementing applications in larger deployments of wireless sensor networks and on extending the device libraries to additional image sensors and wireless motes.
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Figure 8. Development of target tracking algorithm: (a) raw image, (b) RGBY scan lines, (c) cross-correlation, (d) horizontal pixel shift.